



ALLUNY Annual Meeting 2019

The Desmond Hotel

660 Albany Shaker Rd, Albany NY 12211

October 11 – October 12, 2019

MEETING AGENDA

Friday, October 11, 2019	
10:00 a.m. – 11:00 a.m.	Outgoing Board of Directors Meeting
11:00 a.m. – 11:45 a.m.	Registration Desk
11:00 a.m. – 2:00 p.m.	Vendor Exhibits Open
12:00 p.m. – 1:15 p.m.	Welcome Lunch <i>Sponsored by HeinOnline</i> AALL Presentation by Michelle Cosby, President of AALL
1:30 p.m. – 2:15 p.m.	<i>Citators in the Era of Originalism.</i> David Walker, Albany Law School, Schaffer Law Library Citators like KeyCite and Shepard’s Citations do not always work as one thinks. Westlaw brands Keycite by saying, “Instantly see whether your case, statute, regulation, or administrative decision is still good law with KeyCite.” This is a falsehood. While citators still serve as a necessary tool for legal researchers, they are not in themselves always sufficient resources to validate cases. Judicial opinions founded in an originalist view of the constitution in particular can demonstrate inherent weaknesses of these products. This session will demonstrate the shortcomings of citators in a new era of legal analysis and offer suggestions for ensuring whether a case of statute is still “good” law. This session is intended for any legal researcher or anyone who teaches legal research
2:30 p.m. – 3:10 p.m.	Transportation to New York Court of Appeals
3:10 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.	Tour of the New York Court of Appeals Presentation by Cara Brousseau, New York State Reporter

4:15 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.	Tour of the New York Legislative Library
5:00 p.m. – 5:45 p.m.	Transportation to The Desmond
7:00 p.m. – 8:00 p.m.	Dinner Reception. Beverages <i>Sponsored by S & P Global</i> Presentation by Corie Dugas, Executive Director of NELLCO
Saturday, October 12, 2019	
8:00 a.m. – 9:00 a.m.	Breakfast
8:00 a.m. – 3:00 p.m.	Vendor Exhibits Open
9:00 a.m. – 10:00 a.m.	<p><i>Bringing the Law to the Library: The Importance of Librarian Mediation in Access to Justice Services.</i> Dr. Yolanda Jones, Florida A& M University Law Library</p> <p>Library access to justice programs and services help people who need legal information and who cannot afford an attorney. Librarian mediation is a critical component in the provision of access to justice services. However, the value of library mediation, or assistance with using library resources, is often unrecognized, particularly where members of the public are trying to access electronic legal information sources, online legal forms, and other law technologies. This presentation will explore the role of librarians in providing access to justice services from the perspective of the work of Richard Susskind, which emphasizes technological approaches to providing legal services. Availability of free electronic legal and government information, even in purpose built e-government and A2J applications, does not necessarily mean access. While there is a place for technology in access to justice services, there is also a valuable role that librarians play in contributing to access to justice.</p>
10:00 a.m. – 11:00 a.m.	<p><i>Immigration Law: An Overview and Hot Topics.</i> Julina Guo, Albany Law School, Immigration Law Clinic</p> <p>This presentation will provide a basic overview of immigration agencies and courts and their respective functions. The presentation will then discuss immigration law with a focus on humanitarian types of relief, such as Special Immigrant Juvenile Status (SIJS), U-visa, T-visa, Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals, asylum, Temporary Protected Status, and petitions filed under the Violence Against Women Act, as well as litigation surrounding some of these issues, including SIJS and DACA. Lastly, to emphasize how quickly immigration law and policy can change, a short list with a few highlighted changes that have taken place since the Trump administration will be presented.</p>

<p>11:45 a.m. – 1:15 p.m.</p>	<p>Lunch <i>Sponsored by LexisNexis</i></p> <p>Vendor Presentation</p> <p>ALLUNY Business Meeting</p>
<p>1:15 p.m. – 2:00 p.m.</p>	<p><i>Surprising Differences in the Headnote Practices of Bloomberg, Lexis, Lawyers Edition, and Westlaw. Dr. Peter Hook, Cornell Law Library</i></p> <p>As to the Supreme Court case, McDonald v. Chicago, 561 U.S. 742 (2010), a major case that incorporated the Heller, 554 U.S. 570 (2008), individualized right to bear arms against the states, Lexis assigned eighteen headnotes and West only one. In this instance, West headnotes only the holding of the case—merely enunciating the overarching legal principle on which the case stands in one headnote. Given the significant constitutional issues addressed in McDonald, West’s choice is puzzling. Surely the McDonald opinion states more than one point of law worthy of a headnote assignment that would interest West’s users. All three functions of headnotes (overview, intra-case location, and digest) might be improved by more than one headnote being assigned. In this presentation, the number of headnotes assigned by LexisNexis and West are empirically examined for opinions of the 2009 Supreme Court Term. Additionally, Citizens United is examined in detail to determine the overlap of headnote-worthy language. Discrepancies in the number of headnotes assigned and disagreement as to headnote-worthy language call into question the rigor with which headnotes are created.</p> <p>This is based, in part, on Dr. Hook’s article, Peter A. Hook and Kurt R. Mattson, <i>Surprising Differences: An Empirical Analysis of LexisNexis and West Headnotes in the Written Opinions of the 2009 Supreme Court Term</i>, 109 Law Libr. J. 557 (2017).</p> <p>Updated to include: Bloomberg Law, additional courts (lower federal, and state), and network visualizations of headnote metadata.</p>
<p>2:15 p.m. – 3:15 p.m.</p>	<p>Incoming Board Meeting</p>