**Program Name:** QR Codes: Linking Your Library Users to Information

**Please respond by circling the applicable number below (left to right):**
(1) disagree strongly; (2) disagree; (3) uncertain; (4) agree; (5) agree strongly

1. The objectives and content of the program were clearly described in the registration material.  
   1  2  3  4  5

2. The program met the stated objectives.  
   1  2  3  4  5

3. Concepts, terms or methodologies were well-explained and/or illustrated.  
   1  2  3  4  5

4. Questions were answered thoroughly.  
   1  2  3  4  5

5. The concept of the program has practical application in my work.  
   1  2  3  4  5

6. The program was theoretical, however it offered information that is of value in professional development.  
   1  2  3  4  5

7. The time allotted for the program was adequate.  
   1  2  3  4  5

8. The meeting facilities were adequate.  
   1  2  3  4  5

9. The sound/public address system provided adequate sound projection.  
   1  2  3  4  5

10. The use of audio/visuals, electronic visuals (computer overhead projections) enhanced the instruction quality of the program.  
    1  2  3  4  5

**Comments (Please use the back of this form if needed):**

What did you like or dislike about this program?
__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________

Would you recommend any of the speakers for future ALLUNY programs?
__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________

Do you have any suggestions for subjects and/or speakers for future ALLUNY programs?
__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________
Program Name: The Erosion of Privacy - A Brief History

1. The objectives and content of the program were clearly described in the registration material. 1 2 3 4 5

2. The program met the stated objectives. 1 2 3 4 5

3. Concepts, terms or methodologies were well-explained and/or illustrated. 1 2 3 4 5

4. Questions were answered thoroughly. 1 2 3 4 5

5. The concept of the program has practical application in my work. 1 2 3 4 5

6. The program was theoretical, however it offered information that is of value in professional development. 1 2 3 4 5

7. The time allotted for the program was adequate. 1 2 3 4 5

8. The meeting facilities were adequate. 1 2 3 4 5

9. The sound/public address system provided adequate sound projection. 1 2 3 4 5

10. The use of audio/visuals, electronic visuals (computer overhead projections) enhanced the instructional quality of the program. 1 2 3 4 5

Comments (Please use the back of this form if needed):

What did you like or dislike about this program?

______________________________________________________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________________________________________________

Would you recommend any of the speakers for future ALLUNY programs?

______________________________________________________________________________________________________

Do you have any suggestions for subjects and/or speakers for future ALLUNY programs?

______________________________________________________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________________________________________________
Program Name: ALLUNY’s Journey to Tax Exempt Status

Please respond by circling the applicable number below (left to right): (1) disagree strongly; (2) disagree; (3) uncertain; (4) agree; (5) agree strongly

1. The objectives and content of the program were clearly described in the registration material. 1 2 3 4 5

2. The program met the stated objectives. 1 2 3 4 5

3. Concepts, terms or methodologies were well-explained and/or illustrated. 1 2 3 4 5

4. Questions were answered thoroughly. 1 2 3 4 5

5. The concept of the program has practical application in my work. 1 2 3 4 5

6. The program was theoretical, however it offered information that is of value in professional development. 1 2 3 4 5

7. The time allotted for the program was adequate. 1 2 3 4 5

8. The meeting facilities were adequate. 1 2 3 4 5

9. The sound/public address system provided adequate sound projection. 1 2 3 4 5

10. The use of audio/visuals, electronic visuals (computer overhead projections) enhanced the instructional quality of the program. 1 2 3 4 5

Comments (Please use the back of this form if needed):

What did you like or dislike about this program?

______________________________________________________________________________________________________
	______________________________________________________________________________________________________
	______________________________________________________________________________________________________

Would you recommend any of the speakers for future ALLUNY programs?

______________________________________________________________________________________________________
	______________________________________________________________________________________________________

Do you have any suggestions for subjects and/or speakers for future ALLUNY programs?

______________________________________________________________________________________________________
	______________________________________________________________________________________________________
	______________________________________________________________________________________________________