

Association of Law Libraries of Upstate New York

Program Evaluation Form
2012 Spring Institute, Syracuse

Program Name: QR Codes: Linking Your Library Users to Information

**Please respond by circling the applicable number below (left to right):
(1) disagree strongly; (2) disagree; (3) uncertain; (4) agree; (5) agree strongly**

1. The objectives and content of the program were clearly described in the registration material. 1 2 3 4 5

2. The program met the stated objectives. 1 2 3 4 5

3. Concepts, terms or methodologies were well-explained and/or illustrated. 1 2 3 4 5

4. Questions were answered thoroughly. 1 2 3 4 5

5. The concept of the program has practical application in my work. 1 2 3 4 5

6. The program was theoretical, however it offered information that is of value in professional development. 1 2 3 4 5

7. The time allotted for the program was adequate. 1 2 3 4 5

8. The meeting facilities were adequate. 1 2 3 4 5

9. The sound/public address system provided adequate sound projection. 1 2 3 4 5

10. The use of audio/visuals, electronic visuals (computer overhead projections) enhanced the instructional quality of the program. 1 2 3 4 5

Comments (Please use the back of this form if needed):

What did you like or dislike about this program?

Would you recommend any of the speakers for future ALLUNY programs?

Do you have any suggestions for subjects and/or speakers for future ALLUNY programs?

Association of Law Libraries of Upstate New York

Program Evaluation Form
2012 Spring Institute, Syracuse

Program Name: The Erosion of Privacy - A Brief History.

**Please respond by circling the applicable number below (left to right):
(1) disagree strongly; (2) disagree; (3) uncertain; (4) agree; (5) agree
strongly**

1. The objectives and content of the program were clearly described in the registration material. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The program met the stated objectives. 1 2 3 4 5
3. Concepts, terms or methodologies were well-explained and/or illustrated. 1 2 3 4 5
4. Questions were answered thoroughly. 1 2 3 4 5
5. The concept of the program has practical application in my work. 1 2 3 4 5
6. The program was theoretical, however it offered information that is of value in professional development. 1 2 3 4 5
7. The time allotted for the program was adequate. 1 2 3 4 5
8. The meeting facilities were adequate. 1 2 3 4 5
9. The sound/public address system provided adequate sound projection. 1 2 3 4 5
10. The use of audio/visuals, electronic visuals (computer overhead projections) enhanced the instructional quality of the program. 1 2 3 4 5

Comments (Please use the back of this form if needed):

What did you like or dislike about this program?

Would you recommend any of the speakers for future ALLUNY programs?

Do you have any suggestions for subjects and/or speakers for future ALLUNY programs?

Association of Law Libraries of Upstate New York

Program Evaluation Form
2012 Spring Institute, Syracuse

Program Name: ALLUNY's Journey to Tax Exempt Status

Please respond by circling the applicable number below (left to right):
(1) disagree strongly; (2) disagree; (3) uncertain; (4) agree; (5) agree strongly

1. The objectives and content of the program were clearly described in the registration material. 1 2 3 4 5
2. The program met the stated objectives. 1 2 3 4 5
3. Concepts, terms or methodologies were well-explained and/or illustrated. 1 2 3 4 5
4. Questions were answered thoroughly. 1 2 3 4 5
5. The concept of the program has practical application in my work. 1 2 3 4 5
6. The program was theoretical, however it offered information that is of value in professional development. 1 2 3 4 5
7. The time allotted for the program was adequate. 1 2 3 4 5
8. The meeting facilities were adequate. 1 2 3 4 5
9. The sound/public address system provided adequate sound projection. 1 2 3 4 5
10. The use of audio/visuals, electronic visuals (computer overhead projections) enhanced the instructional quality of the program. 1 2 3 4 5

Comments (Please use the back of this form if needed):

What did you like or dislike about this program?

Would you recommend any of the speakers for future ALLUNY programs?

Do you have any suggestions for subjects and/or speakers for future ALLUNY programs?
